

ADA TOWNSHIP • ALLENDALE TOWNSHIP • ALPINE TOWNSHIP • BELDING • BYRON TOWNSHIP • CALEDONIA TOWNSHIP • CANNON TOWNSHIP • CASCADE TOWNSHIP

CEDAR SPRINGS • COOPERSVILLE • COURTLAND TOWNSHIP • EAST GRAND RAPIDS • GAINES TOWNSHIP • GEORGETOWN TOWNSHIP • GRAND RAPIDS TOWNSHIP • MIDDLEVILLE • NELSON TOWNSHIP

OTTAWA COUNTY • PLAINFIELD TOWNSHIP • ROCKFORD • SAND LAKE • SPARTA • TALLMADGE TOWNSHIP • WAYLAND • WYOMING

TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMMING STUDY GROUP

Wednesday, March 17, 2021 9:30 AM REMOTE MEETING USING ZOOM

https://zoom.us/j/96504471997?pwd=UXoyVmx2UHg5VTBhT3k0SUN5UzFrUT09

Webinar ID: 965 0447 1997 | Passcode: 453555 +1 301.715.8592 | ACCESS CODE: 453555

AGENDA

- I. ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS
- II. <u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES—ACTION</u>: Dated March 2, 2021 Please refer to Item II: Attachment A
- III. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
- IV. <u>FY2020/FY2021 HIP FUNDS</u> <u>DISCUSSION/ACTION</u>: The Subcommittee will be tasked with discussing and making a recommendation regarding additional available FY2020/FY2021 funds in the HIP funding categories.

 Please refer to Item IV: Attachment A
- V. OTHER BUSINESS
- VI. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

ITEM II: ATTACHMENT A **DRAFT** DRAFT

MINUTES

Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Transportation Division TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMMING STUDY GROUP Tuesday March 2, 2021 Video Conference

Brett Laughlin, Chair of the TPSG Subcommittee, had audio issues and was unable to run the meeting.

Terry Schweitzer nominated Wayne Harrall as Temporary Chair of the TPSG Subcommittee.

MOTION by Schweitzer, SUPPORT by Conners, to appoint Wayne Harrall as Temporary Chair of the TPSG Subcommittee. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Harrall, Temporary Chair of the TPSG Subcommittee, called the meeting to order at 1:01 pm. Everyone in attendance introduced themselves and the organization they represented.

I. **ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS**

Voting Members Present

Jim Kirkwood

Michelle Baker Proxy for

> Clint Nemeth **GFIAA**

Kristin Bennett City of Grand Rapids City of Kentwood Brad Boomstra Tim Bradshaw (Vice Chair) City of Kentwood **Scott Conners** City of Walker

Mike DeVries Grand Rapids Charter Township

City of Grand Rapids Rick DeVries Village of Sparta Shay Gallagher Wayne Harrall (Temporary Chair) County of Kent Russ Henckel City of Wyoming

Nicole Hofert City of Wyoming

Proxy for **MDOT** Dennis Kent

Mike Burns City of Lowell City of Kentwood

Brett Laughlin (Chair) Ottawa County Road Commission

Nick Monoyios **GFIAA**

Jon Moxey Village of Caledonia Terry Schweitzer City of Kentwood

Rick Sprague Kent County Road Commission

Dan Strikwerda City of Hudsonville Charlie Sundblad City of Grandville Jeff Thornton Village of Caledonia

Kevin Wisselink The Rapid

Steve Warren Kent County Road Commission

ITEM II: ATTACHMENT A
DRAFT

DRAFT

Staff and Non-Voting Guests Present

Bradley Doane GVMC Staff Andrea Faber **GVMC Staff** Art Green **MDOT** Laurel Joseph **GVMC Staff** Susan Rozema **MDOT** John Weiss **GVMC Staff** George Yang **GVMC Staff** Mike Zonyk **GVMC Staff**

Voting Members Not Present

Mike Burns City of Lowell Adam Elenbaas Allendale Township

Jeff Franklin MDOT

Rachel Gokey Village of Sand Lake

Tim Haagsma Kent County Road Commission
Steve Hartman Hope Network West Michigan
Joan Konyndyk Hope Network West Michigan

Doug LaFaveEast Grand RapidsBill LaRoseCity of Cedar SpringsTravis MabryCity of WalkerRobert MillerCity of Hudsonville

Clint Nemeth GFIAA

Jeff Oonk City of Wyoming

Steve Peterson Cascade Charter Township

Liz Schelling ITP – The Rapid

Max Smith Hope Network West Michigan

Phil Vincent City of Rockford
Mike Womack City of Cedar Springs

I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Bradshaw said on page 2, the second to last paragraph says, "Harrall suggested that Kentwood gets \$80,000, and Kentwood gets \$33,000." The first "Kentwood" should be "Kent County Road Commission." Staff noted the change.

Mike DeVries entertained a motion to approve the March 4, 2020 TPSG minutes.

MOTION by Mike Devries, SUPPORT by Conners, to approve the March 6, 2020 TPSG Subcommittee meeting minutes. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

II. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

III. FY2020 STP-URBAN AND STATE EDC ADDITIONAL FUNDS

Please refer to Item IV: Joseph explained there are STP-Urban funds that need to be obligated in FY2021 from a City of Grandville project that cannot obligate this year. The City of Grandville is requesting these funds are used by other members in hopes to get HIP funding for their project in a future year.

• FY 2021 STP-Urban: \$218,881 (from City of Grandville project)

Joseph presented members with a list of eligible projects that have not yet been obligated. Discussion ensued.

Connors pointed out there are two agencies that have projects that aren't matched, he recommended splitting them up between the two biggest agencies, Kent County Road Commission, and the City of Grand Rapids. Harrall elaborated, explaining Conners was referring to the KCRC Whitneyville Ave project and a project of choice for Grand Rapids. Conners confirmed.

Laughlin asked Joseph if the Caledonia job on the proposed list was the same as the Caledonia job on the current TIP list, and if both jobs had different limits. Joseph explained the TIP job was a proposal for HIP funding, but included a longer segment of road, and Caledonia is requesting funding to get back to their original limit ask and expense.

Moxey said he wasn't sure if 24.50% was the correct Local Share percentage for the Caledonia project. Joseph explained the percentage is from what is currently listed in the TIP, so if the project's total cost has changed and is not currently reflected in the TIP, then that percentage may differ. Moxey said the amount shown is for essentially half the project that was originally requested. Harrall asked Moxey if Caledonia was in good shape to obligate their project for FY2021. Moxey said yes, they're looking at starting construction this fall or next spring. Harrall asked if Caledonia was submitting the expanded project that was submitted for HIP funding or the reduced length project. Moxey said it was essentially half of what was outlined in the HIP description. Harrall said KCRC could take about \$50,000 for the Whitneyville Ave project to make the project closer to an 80/20 split.

Joseph stated all recommendations are slighted to go through final approval in April. Rick DeVries asked if that would hold up obligation on a project if dollars were added. Joseph said yes. Rick DeVries said, in that case, they should assign it to the Lake Eastbrook Blvd project if there are extra dollars. Joseph noted DeVries' comments.

Joseph asked for clarification that the Subcommittee is recommending getting the Whitneyville Ave project up to 24.5% local match and the rest to go to the Grand Rapids Lake Eastbrook Blvd project. Connors said that recommendation would result in \$37,760 additional dollars going to the Whitneyville project and \$181,121 going to the Lake Eastbrook project, putting Kent County at 24.5% local share, consistent with everybody else, and Grand Rapids well under that amount.

Moxey said the \$375,000 for the Caledonia project was incorrect and the total participating amount is looking more like \$450,000 for the project. Joseph asked Moxey to send his estimate to herself and Mike Zonyk. Harrall asked if that estimate would still be within 25%. Moxey said no, it's closer to 40% for half the project.

Schweitzer asked, regarding the HIP money, if they would be eligible to be spent on projects like the Caledonia Kinsey project. Joseph said yes.

MOTION by Conners, SUPPORT by Laughlin to approve reallocation of funds from the City of Grandville's Wilson Ave project to KCRC's Whitneyville Ave and City of Grand Rapids' Lake Eastbrook projects.

Please refer to Item IV: Joseph explained the three different pots of funding available:

- FY 2020 HIP General: \$502,729 (must be obligated by 9/30/23, typical 80/20 or 81.85/18.15 required split)
- FY 2021 HIP General: \$540,111 (must be obligated by 9/30/24, typical 80/20 or 81.85/18.15 required split)
- FY 2021 HIP COVID Relief: \$3,693,347 (must be obligated by 9/30/24, 100 federal no local match required)

Harrall asked if the COVID funding has no local match required. Joseph said yes.

Moxey presented the Village of Caledonia's Kinsey Street Improvement project.

Harrall asked Moxey if Caledonia is including the entire corridor including the FY 2021 project previously discussed. Moxey said no but they'd like to combine both as one project.

Rick DeVries presented the City of Grand Rapids' Division Ave, Grandville Ave, and Hall St projects.

Harrall asked DeVries if Grand Rapids had the ability to add more to the projects. Rick DeVries said watermain and sewer weren't eligible, if their Federal budget ask was around \$1.4 million, they could do a normal grant project with an 80/20 split between Grandville and Hall.

Sundblad presented the City of Grandville's Wilson Ave project.

Strikwerda presented the City of Hundsonville's 40th Ave: Grant to Van Buren, 40th Ave: M-121 to Grant, and 40th Ave: Van Buren to N city limit projects.

Harrall asked if Hudsonville had a priority of the three projects. Strikwerda said the portion from Grant to Van Buren due to the shape it's in.

<u>Harrall presented the Kent County Road Commission's Leffingwell Ave, Northland Dr, and 68th St</u> projects.

Boomstra presented the City of Kentwood's two Burton St projects.

Harrall asked if Kentwood's priority was the bigger of the two projects. Boomstra said the opposite, their priority is the Forest Hill/Patterson project. Bennett asked if the intent of the Forest Hill/Patterson project was to take away all or some of the shoulder space. Boomstra said some of it, they're looking to take away 10 feet to leave some shoulder. Bennett encouraged Kentwood to expand to 12 feet to make the section more bike friendly. Boomstra acknowledged the suggestion. Bennett asked if there was any assumption of signal change costs. Boomstra said yes, and they do expect some intersection work.

<u>Laughlin presented Ottawa County Road Commission's Fillmore St/Cottonwood Dr project.</u>

Harrall asked if OCRC were to add \$500,000 to the project, what would that put them at? Laughlin replied \$1.3 million, totaling \$1.8 million.

Connors presented the City of Walker's Bristol RR Bridge project.

Harrall asked if Walker was trying to physically widen the bridge. Connors said they're proposing a combination of large culverts. Schweitzer asked if the level of tracks will stay where they are. Connors said yes, preliminarily, and they'd bring the road down a couple of feet for more clearance. Bradshaw asked if there was any concern from a historical perspective to altering the bridge. Connors said Walker was not aware of any and they'd like to preserve the character of the bridge.

Joseph presented the Regional TDM Strategy and Transit Master Plan projects.

Monoyios added it has been over 10 years since the region's last TMP and an update would benefit the entire region. Monoyios continued, saying as they prepare the scope of work, there will be an exhaustive task force put together which will be composed of member jurisdictions of GVMC. Wisselink said this project is more of a regional planning effort and they're looking to start in the summer of 2022.

Schweitzer asked if the TMP would include the West Michigan Express corridor project discussed in the previous Tech/Policy Committee meeting. Monoyios said that's a great idea and it's worth discussion. Monoyios went on to say he felt the output from the TDM strategy would be a great beneficial influence for how we develop the TMP as well. Wisselink asked if they wanted projects folded into the same effort. Joseph said the budgets were not combined, however there was a general consensus at February's combined Tech/Policy meeting that the West Michigan Express and busonly lanes projects could all be part of a broader transit master plan. Strikwerda expressed support for the idea.

Weiss asked Joseph to talk about enhancing work and holding dues. Joseph explained the upcoming GVMC Work Program and staff's efforts to allocate all funds. Discussion ensued.

Warren presented the M-37 Added Capacity and Fruit Ridge Interchange projects for consideration.

Bradshaw said his understanding is the bond money the state is receiving will cover most, if not all, of the M-37 project. Dennis Kent said it is technically not a bond funded project, it is a backfill project. Kent went on to explain additional dollars added to the project would be for added capacity.

Harrall asked, related to capacity on M-37, of Kent's opinion if any showstoppers were to be added. Kent said the preliminary engineering phase has looked into environmental issues, for a 5-lane option, there appears to be no showstoppers. Kent added the Fruit Ridge project is a categorical exclusion. Harrall asked if Fruit Ridge was currently programmed. Kent said there is some work programmed for the project.

Rick DeVries said he felt the \$250,000 requested for the Planning Study benefits everyone in the region. DeVries asked Joseph what the total amount of funding is for COVID relief. Joseph said \$3.7 million. DeVries expressed a desire to get more funding for the region.

Warren explained funding on a previous 100th St project. Warren suggested \$1 million allocated to the M-37 or Fruit Ridge projects to entice an increase in State funding. Weiss asked Warren if he had enough information to talk about potential project costs in detail. Kent said he couldn't get a handle on cost for the whole project.

Schweitzer said the memo indicates, at the latest, money must be obligated by September 2024. Schweitzer asked if money could be applied to the M-37 project in time. Kent said yes. Green explained the project has been successful in its operations template and preliminary work has already been done to know there are strong indications to know capacity improvement is warranted. Weiss said the difficulty is going be a clear and direct strategy of MDOT leadership that the region is going to maintain our existing system. Weiss continued, regional jurisdictions will likely have to pay for a lot of an expanded capacity M-37 project, citing a doubt MDOT will want to expand the system. Weiss asked Kent and Green how the Subcommittee could get the M-37 Added Capacity project started financially. Kent said there is not a specific amount, it's more of a good-faith financial effort from non-MDOT sources.

Connors asked Joseph what the deadline is for completing this discussion on HIP funding. Joseph said it doesn't need to be completed today but she'd like to make a decision about what Grandville can do with their project now that they've given up their STP funding.

Harrall asked if there was any other project on the list intended to happen sooner than 2022. Joseph said just the Kinsey St project in Caledonia. Harrall asked Moxey if he had the entire section ready for GI submittal. Moxey said they're under design on the half section. To fully fund the entire project, they'd need roughly another \$120,000.

Connors said Grandville has always been good with the group about not overreaching and taking on reasonable efforts, and he can't see where Grandville gets left out. Harrall agreed.

Joseph asked the Subcommittee how they wanted to handle the position of TPSG Chair. Connors nominated Brett Laughlin to continue his position as Chair. Laughlin accepted.

Meeting tabled until March 17, 2021 at 9:30 am.

IV. OTHER BUSINESS

None.

V. ADJOURNMENT

Harrall adjourned the March 2, 2021 TPSG Subcommittee meeting at 2:54 pm.



ADA TOWNSHIP • ALLENDALE TOWNSHIP • ALPINE TOWNSHIP • BELDING • BYRON TOWNSHIP • CALEDONIA TOWNSHIP • CANNON TOWNSHIP • CASCADE TOWNSHIP

CEDAR SPRINGS • COOPERSVILLE • COURTLAND TOWNSHIP • EAST GRAND RAPIDS • GAINES TOWNSHIP • GEORGETOWN TOWNSHIP • GRAND RAPIDS • GRAND RAPIDS TOWNSHIP • GRANDVILLE

GREENVILLE • HASTINGS • HUDSONVILLE • IONIA • JAMESTOWN TOWNSHIP • KENT COUNTY • KENTWOOD • LOWELL • LOWELL TOWNSHIP • MIDDLEVILLE • NELSON TOWNSHIP

OTTAWA COUNTY • PLAINFIELD TOWNSHIP • ROCKFORD • SAND LAKE • SPARTA • TALLMADGE TOWNSHIP • WALKER • WAYLAND • WYOMING

MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 10, 2021

TO: TPSG Subcommittee

FROM: Laurel Joseph, Director of Transportation Planning

RE: FY2020/FY2021 Funding Programming Discussion

At the next TPSG meeting, which will take place on March 17, 2021 at 9:30 am over Zoom, the Subcommittee will be tasked with discussing and making a recommendation regarding additional available FY2020 and FY2021 funds in the Highway Infrastructure Program (HIP) funding categories – continuing the conversation from the March 3rd meeting. The amounts of additional federal funds are listed below.

- FY 2020 HIP General: \$502,729 (must be obligated by 9/30/23, typical 80/20 or 81.85/18.15 required split)
- FY 2021 HIP General: \$540,111 (must be obligated by 9/30/24, typical 80/20 or 81.85/18.15 required split)
- FY 2021 HIP COVID Relief: \$3,693,347 (must be obligated by 9/30/24

 earlier obligation has been recommended, 100% federal no local match required)

A call for projects was sent out for the HIP funding, and several proposals were submitted for consideration. These proposals were discussed at the March 3 meeting and remain in this packet. One additional proposal has been submitted and is also included, as is a summary of performance measure data, comments, and previous subcommittee discussion regarding each proposed project.

It is anticipated that the recommendations made by the TPSG Subcommittee will be included on the Technical and Policy Committee agendas for their April meetings.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (616) 776-7610 or laurel.joseph@gvmc.org.

Dronocod		1	ī	Federal	ı	<u> </u>	Local	1	PASER	Condidtion	_	ı		МТР	On	In NM	Traffic	1
Proposed Year	Responsible Agency	Project Name	Description	Budget Ask	Local Cost	Total Cost	Share	Cap Def.	rating	Def.	IDI	IDI Cond	Safety Def.	1	Transit	1		Comments
rear	Responsible Agency	Project Name	Description	Budget Ask	Local Cost	Total Cost	Snare	сар рет.	rating	Det.	IKI	iki Cona	Safety Def.	Recs	Transit	Pian	volume	Top GR priority of their submitted
	Grand Rapids	Grandville Ave	Reconstruct from Beacon to Franklin	¢ 900 000	¢ 2 550 000	¢ 4350,000	81.61%	No	2	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8,053	projects
	Granu Kapius	Grandville Ave	Reconstruct from Fulton to	\$ 800,000	\$ 5,550,000	\$ 4,550,000	01.01%	INO		165	IN/A	IN/A	162	165	res	res	0,033	projects
	Grand Rapids	Division Ave	Michigan	\$ 800,000	\$ 4 600 000	\$ 5,400,000	85.19%	No	3	Yes	325	Poor	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	11,812	
	Grand Rapids	Hall St	Rehab from Madison to Eastern	\$ 600,000	\$ 1,125,000	\$ 1.725.000	65.22%	No	4	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	14,492	
	Отапа Каріаз	Hall St	Mill and fill from Rivertown Pkwy to	\$ 000,000	7 1,123,000	7 1,723,000	03.22/0	140	7	103	IN/A	IN/A	163	103	140	163	14,432	
2022	Grandville	Wilson Ave	S CL	\$ 232,000	\$ 58,000	\$ 290,000	20.00%	No	3	Yes	196	Poor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	21,665	
2022	Grandville	WIISOII AVE	Mill and resurface from Van Buren	3 232,000	3 38,000	3 290,000	20.00%	INU	-	163	130	F001	163	163	163	163	21,003	Top Hudsonville priority of their
	Hudsonville	40th	to Grant	\$ 212,800	\$ 53,200	\$ 266,000	20.00%	No	2	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	Yes	4,189	submitted projects
	Tidusorivine	40011	Mill and resurface from Chicago to	Ş 212,000	3 33,200	200,000	20.0070	110	-	163	IN/A	IV/A	110	163	140	163	4,103	Submitted projects
	Hudsonville	40th	Grant	\$ 143,200	\$ 35,800	\$ 179,000	20.00%	No	2	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	Yes	4,189	
	Tiuusoiiviile	4001	Mill and resurface from Van Buren	3 143,200	3 33,800	3 179,000	20.0076	INO		163	IN/A	IN/A	INU	163	INU	163	4,105	
	Hudsonville	40th	to N CL	\$ 114,400	\$ 28,600	\$ 143,000	20.00%	No	3	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	Yes	4,348	
	Kent County Road	4001	TO IN CL	3 114,400	\$ 28,000	3 143,000	20.0076	INO	3	163	IN/A	IN/A	INU	163	INO	163	4,340	Top KCRC priority of their submitted
	Commission	Northland Dr	Resurface 11 Mile to 12 Mile	\$ 720,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 900,000	20.00%	No	4	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	20,813	projects
	Kent County Road	1401 GHallu Di	THE SUIT IN THE LOT IN THE	7 /20,000	7 100,000	7 300,000	20.00%	140		163	IV/A	14/4	163	163	140	140	20,013	projects
2022	,	68th St	Reconstruct Kraft to Cherry Valley	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 1,500,000	20.00%	No	7	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	7,104	
	Kent County Road	0011131	Reconstruct Krait to Cherry Valley	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 300,000	3 1,300,000	20.0076	INU		163	IN/A	IN/A	163	163	INO	INO	7,104	
	Commission	Leffingwell Ave	Resurface City Limits to Knapp	\$ 200,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 250,000	20.00%	No	4	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	Yes	7,756	
	Commission	Lettingwell Ave	Resultace City Littles to Kilapp	\$ 200,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 250,000	20.0070	INO	-	163	IN/A	IN/A	NO	163	INO	163	7,730	New Proposal - MDOT project
	Kant Causty Band																	
	Kent County Road Commision	M-37	92nd Street to North of 76th Street	¢ 1 COO OOO	¢ 400,000	¢ 3,000,000	20.00%	Yes	3	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Vac	No	V	26,859	programmed to be obligated in 2024 and constructed in 2025
	Commision	IVI-37	92nd Street to North of 76th Street	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 2,000,000	20.00%	res	3	res	IN/A	N/A	INO	Yes	INO	Yes	26,859	and constructed in 2025
			Mill and fill from Patterson to															
																		Tan Kanturaad milanitus of thair
	Kanakana ad	Dt (4)	Forest Hill; narrow pavement and	¢ 005 000	¢ 244 400	¢ 4 207 400	20.000/		_	V				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			45.700	Top Kentwood priority of their
	Kentwood	Burton (1)	replace 5 ft sidewalk with 10 ft path	\$ 965,920	\$ 241,480	\$ 1,207,400	20.00%	No	3	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	No	15,768	submitted projects
			Mill and fill from East Paris to Forest															
	Wanton and	D (2)	Hill; narrow pavement and replace 5	ć 4 427 200	¢ 256.000	¢ 4 704 000	20.000/	N.I -	2	V	h1/h	21/2	N1 -	\/			45.700	
	Kentwood	Burton (2)	ft sidewalk with 10 ft path	\$ 1,427,200	\$ 356,800	\$ 1,784,000	20.00%	No	3	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	No	15,768	1 TIR (2022 INCOSESS C III
																		In TIP for 2022 - JN205535. Currently
																		has \$1,300,000 STP-U funding. If the
																		total budget does not increase, the STP
																		funding associated with the project
																		would have to be reduced to
																		\$1,193,373 to meet match
																		requirements on that funding source.
		Fillmore St/																Alternatively the HIP - COVID request
2022	OCRC	Cottonwood Dr	Mill and Resurface	\$ 500,000	\$ 158,000	\$ 1,958,000	8.07%	No	5	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	Yes	9,095	could be reduced to \$369,729
																		Main to Maple in TIP for 2021 -
									1			1						JN205545. Currently has \$283,111 in
2021	Village of Caledonia	Kinsey Street	Reconstruct from Main to 100th	\$ 484,889	\$ 192,000	\$ 960,000	20.00%	No	3	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	No	2,270	STP-U funding
		Bristol RR																
	Walker	Bridge	Widen to 2 lanes	\$ 760,000	\$ 190,000	\$ 950,000	20.00%	No	6	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	6,667	
		Regional TDM	Planning Study to develop	Open for					1			1						
2023	GVMC	Strategy	coordinated regional TDM strategy	discussion		\$ 250,000		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		Transit Master	Planning Study to develop Regional	Open for					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
2023	ITP	Plan	Transit Master Plan	discussion		\$ 600,000		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			TOTAL		\$ 7.968.880	\$ 20,362,400		,	– ′ · · ·	,	T	,···	,	<u> </u>	_ <u>' </u>	- ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	,	
		1	1.0	7 3,300,403	7 7,500,000	7 -0,552,400		l	l .	l .								I

Proj	ect Name:	Kinsey Street Improvements Sub	mitte	d by (Name and Agency):
Proj	ect Descrip	otion:		
a \$1,2 furthe	250,000 reconstruc er reduced to \$283,	tion project in the 2020-2023 TIP Call for Projects but was limited to \$300,00	00, resulti ineering b	nia, along with non-participating sanitary sewer improvements. The Village requested funding for ng in a change of scope to end the project at Maple Street. That amount was subsequently seen able to reduce the estimated participating project cost for the originally planned project to 0% of the \$960,000 requested (\$768,000), or an increase of \$484,889.
Tota	al Project C	ost: \$960,000		
Proj	ect contrib	utes to improving the following Federal Per	rform	ance Measures – check all that apply:
<u>Saf</u>	f <u>ety</u> Number/ra	te of fatalities on all public roads	Sys	stem Performance Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
	Number/ra	te of serious injuries on all public roads	V	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
7	Number of all public ro	nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on oads	7	Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
<u>Inf</u> i	rastructure Percentage	of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	<u>Tra</u>	nnsit Asset Management Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
7	Percentage NHS	of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate		Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
	Percentage	of good/poor NHS Bridges		Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Plea	se describe	e how this project would address the applic	able	performance measures.
agrice paver to tha	ultural traffic relate ment design. Cale at facility with upgr	ed to the Caledonia Farmers Elevator complex. The improvements pr edonia Township recently completed extension of a non-motorized tra	oposed vill along lents in the	n Lake Street and Main Street is intolerable, and that portion services a high volume of will convert this section of poor pavement to good for a number of years with a modern Kinsey between Maple and 100th Streets. Road improvements will improve safe access he corridor are adding significant demand for non-motorized access. The improvements tive to the congested M-37 corridor.
Proj	ect contrib	utes to the implementation of the following	g MTI	P Recommendations – check all that apply:
	Work to inc	crease transportation funding in the MPO area.	V	Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
7		prove the condition and operation of the nsportation system.		Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Plea	se describe	e how this project would address the applic	able	MTP Recommendations.
Roa	d reconstruction	on will drastically improve the condition and operation of	the inf	rastructure in the corridor, leveraging local funds for sanitary sewer

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

replacement and extension to better serve sewer customers. Improving access to the non-motorized facilities in the corridor will improve safety and foster a shift toward non-motorized forms of transportation for new residents along the corridor seeking access to downtown, M-37 and elsewhere.

This project was significantly under-funded when it was added to the 2020-2023 TIP and that limited funding was subsequently reduced even further by funding shortfalls. When the Village was switched from the rural funding category to the urban area to compete with large Cities, it severely restricted the Village's ability to adequately fund its growing infrastructure needs. The HIP funding presents an opportunity to rectify that inequity.



February 18, 2021

Dear Ms. Joseph,

Thank you for the opportunity to propose and submit projects for the Highway Infrastructure Program funding. It is our understanding that there is \$4,736,187 available to the Region with \$502,729 required to be spent by 9/30/2023 and the remainder to be spent by 9/30/2024.

The City of Grand Rapids is requesting that the following be considered for funding. Both the Grandville Avenue and Hall Street projects are on the illustrative list. Division Avenue was in FY2022 in the FY2020 – FY2023 Transportation Improvement Program as a rotomill/resurfacing project. Due to the amount of needed infrastructure replacement, the project was postponed to a future year.

If funded, the City would work towards a Spring, 2022 obligation with construction during 2022 and 2023.

Please find attached the Division Avenue, Grandville Avenue and Hall Street GVMC Construction Project Proposal Forms. We have also summarized the information below including the requested grant amounts for each project:

PROJECT		Project Description	<u>Length</u>	<u>Federal</u>	<u>Local</u>	Total Cost
Division Avenue	Fulton Street to Michigan Street	Reconstruction	0.451	\$800,000	\$4,600,000	\$5,400,000
Grandville Avenue	Beacon Street to Franklin Street	Reconstruction	0.265	\$800,000	\$3,550,000	\$4,350,000
Hall Street	Madison Avenue to Fastern Avenue	Rotomilling/resurfacing	0.498	\$600,000	\$1 125 000	\$1 725 000

Please let us know if you have any questions or need additional information. Thank you for your assistance.

Rick DeVries, P.E.

Sincerely

Assistant City Engineer

cc: Eric DeLong

Karyn Ferrick Josh Naramore Kristin Bennett Tim Burkman

_{Project Name:} <u>Division Avenue</u> _{Subr}	mitted by (Name and Agency): Grand Rapids
Project Description:	
Reconstruction of Division Avenue from Fulton Street to Consumers Energy/COGR Street Lighting plan to upgra	
Total Project Cost: 540000	
Project contributes to improving the following Federal Per	formance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ☐ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
☐ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
☐ Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads	☐ Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management ☐ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS	☐ Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the application	able performance measures.
The street was an MDOT trunkline until recently. The mocondition. The surface sub-type is composite. The reconsand subbase, aggregate base and 8" of hot mix asphale	struction work would replace this pavement with adequate
Project contributes to the implementation of the following	g MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:
☐ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	✓ Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
✓ Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	☐ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the application	able MTP Recommendations.

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

Mile along Michigan Street and Spectrum, VanAndel Institute and MSU Innovation Park and is an important north-south link.

The Division Avenue corridor serves a significant role in the heart of downtown Grand Rapids. Immediately adjacent to this section is Grand Rapids Community College and Ferris State University-Kendall School of Art. The corridor also provides access to the Medical

This street, as a former trunkline, serves the regional needs of our transportation network. There are over 11,000 vehicles per day on average. The infrastructure that will be replaced, if this project is funded, will upgrade critical power, street lighting and water facilities which are in poor condition. The Downtown Development Authority is reviewing what investment they may also make in the corridor. There may also be traffic signal upgrades.

_{Project Name:} Grandville Avenue _{Subn}	nitted by (Name and Agency): Grand Rapids
Project Description:	
Reconstruction of Grandville Avenue from Beacor replacement and sewer separation.	Street to Franklin Street including water main
Total Project Cost: 4350000	
Project contributes to improving the following Federal Perf	formance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ✓ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
✓ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
✓ Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads	☐ Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management ☐ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS	☐ Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the applica	ble performance measures.
The street was an MDOT trunkline until recently. The most recent PASER rating replace this pavement with adequate sand subbase, aggregate base and 8" of hinformed by the 2017 Viva La Avenida Long Live the Avenue - Grandville Avenue to reconstruct Grandville Avenue from Clyde Park Avenue (South City Limit) to F	ot mix asphalt and also address drainage issues. The design of the project will be a area Specific Plan and the City's Vital Streets Plan. The City's long term plan is
Project contributes to the implementation of the following	MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:
☐ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	✓ Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	☑ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the applica	ble MTP Recommendations.

The Grandville Avenue corridor is seeing significant redevelopment. Just north of this proposed section, is the Plaza Roosevelt redevelopment, a \$50 million public-private investment which includes new housing, a new high school, expansion of health care, a new City park and expanded arts/cultural programming. Much of this is reaching completion. This investment also included rehabilitation of Grandville Avenue from Franklin Street to Bartlett Street. The area is served by the Rapid which provides a connection between downtown and Wyoming/Metro Health and Grandville. Where possible, the desired elements of the Viva La Avenida Long Live the Avenue - Grandville Avenue area Specific Plan will be incorporated into the design and

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

This street, as a former trunkline, serves the regional needs of our transportation network. There are over 8,000 vehicles per day on average and provides a critical link to Wyoming/Grandville area and downtown. The public infrastructure that will be replaced and/or added, will position this area for additional redevelopment and continued investment in the historic neighborhood..

Project Name: Hall Street	_ Submitted	by (Name and Agency): Grand Rapids			
Project Description:					
Rehabilitation of Hall Street from Madison A replacement.	venue to E	Eastern Avenue including water main			
Total Project Cost: 1725000					
Project contributes to improving the following Fede	ral Performa	ance Measures – check all that apply:			
Safety ✓ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	-	tem Performance Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable			
☑ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads		Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable			
Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuri all public roads	es on	Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index			
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate		nsit Asset Management Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)			
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-InterNHS	rstate 🔲	Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB			
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges		Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale			
Please describe how this project would address the	applicable p	erformance measures.			
The most recent PASER ratings are 4: Fair and 5: Fair. The work would include the of the undisturbed street. The City of Grand Rapids is currently investing \$4.3 million.	e replacement of the on to reconstruct Ha	areas including East Grand Rapids to the East. It also provides a critical link to US131. e public water main and, where needed, lead water services and the rotomill/resurfacing all Street from Kalamazoo Avenue to Sylvan Avenue and is partnering with the City of Neighborhoods of Focus area where the City has committed to increase investment in			
Project contributes to the implementation of the fol	llowing MTP	Recommendations – check all that apply:			
☐ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO	area. 🔽	Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.			
☑ Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	7	Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.			
Please describe how this project would address the applicable MTP Recommendations.					

The Hall Street corridor serves a critical link from neighborhoods to industrial areas and employment. Hall Street also provides an important link for bikes and access to north-south connections to public transit at Madison Avenue and Eastern Avenue.

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

Access to employment is of critical importance to the region. There are over 11,000 vehicles per day on average and provides a critical link to East Grand Rapids and US-131. The public infrastructure that will be replaced will improve reliability and, where lead services are replaced, meet the State and City's goals to remove lead services from drinking water.



February 22, 2021

Dear Laurel,

Due to unforeseen circumstances, it is unlikely that the City of Grandville will be able to complete design activities in time to meet the deadlines for the 2021 funding. Therefore, the City of Grandville will be giving up our FY 2021 STU funding and would like to put the project on the table for a possible trade scenario in hopes of getting future year funding.

Please call me at 616-538-1990 with any questions.

Sincerely

Charlie Sundblad

Director of Public Works

Project Name: Wilson Ave	Submitted by (Name and Agency):
Project Description:	
Mill and fill of Wilson Ave. from Rivertown F	arkway to South city limits.
Total Project Cost: \$290,000	
Project contributes to improving the following Fede	eral Performance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ☐ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
☐ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
 Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injur all public roads 	ies on Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management □ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Inte NHS	rstate
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the	applicable performance measures.
before complete deterioration takes place and full reconstruct is re-	prior to further deterioration will help to preserve the existing transportation system equired. The project reduces current maintenance needs, and will be shorter in lill be enhanced through upgrades to existing crosswalks which currently do not meet
Project contributes to the implementation of the fo	llowing MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:
☐ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPC	area. Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the	applicable MTP Recommendations.

Currently, a majority of Wilson Ave. between Rivertown Parkway and the southern City limits is considered to be in "poor" or "failed" condition based on the 2020 PASER report. The section from the southern city limits to the southern city limits of the City of Wyoming will be resurfaced during the 2021 construction season. This will bring the entire section of Wilson Ave. from Rivertown Parkway to M-6 to excellent/good condition.

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

This project is currently included in the current TIP for 2021 funding. Due to unforeseen circumstances, it is unlikely the City will be able to complete design activities in time to meet the deadlines for 2021 funding. If this project is approved for HIP funding, the City will construct the project during the 2022 construction season.

Pro	ject Name: <u> </u>	nitte	d by (Name and Agency):
Pro	ject Description:		
Fu	ll mill and resurface for 1,800' of 40th Avenue from Van	Bur	en Street to Grant Street and restripe with bike lanes
Tota	al Project Cost: \$266,000		
Pro	ject contributes to improving the following Federal Peri	form	ance Measures – check all that apply:
Sat	fety Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	Sys	Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
✓	Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads		Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
7	Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads		Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
<u>Inf</u>	rastructure Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	<u>Tra</u>	nsit Asset Management Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
	Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS		Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
	Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges		Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Plea	ase describe how this project would address the applica	ble	performance measures.
	ad quality and traffic calming measure of bike lanes m 34' wide 2-lane roadway with a 35 mph speed limit sa		the road safer. Speeding is an issue being a section iched between two 55 mph sections of roadway.
Proj	ject contributes to the implementation of the following	MTI	P Recommendations – check all that apply:
	Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	V	Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
✓	Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	V	Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Plea	ase describe how this project would address the applica	ble I	MTP Recommendations.
	ad is getting unsafe due to very poor condition. We h		

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

This is a shared road with Georgetown Township providing collector access to Chicago Drive. It has a PASER rating of 2.

calming.

5 accidents and 1 injury for this road section per MPO crash summary. None with bicycles but bike lanes will help with traffic calming.

_{Project Name:} <u>40th Ave: M-121 to Grant</u> _{Sul}	bmitted by (Name and Agency):
Project Description:	
2" mill and resurface for 2,100' of 40th Avenue from 0	Chicago Drive to Grant Street and restripe with bike lanes
Total Project Cost: \$179,000	_
Project contributes to improving the following Federal Pe	erformance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ☐ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads	☐ Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management☐ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS	☐ Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the appli	cable performance measures.
Road quality and traffic calming measure of bike lanes of 34' wide 2-lane roadway with a 35 mph speed limit s	make the road safer. Speeding is an issue being a section sandwiched between two 55 mph sections of roadway.
Project contributes to the implementation of the following	ng MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:
☐ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	✓ Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
☑ Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	☑ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the appli	cable MTP Recommendations.
	have received multiple reports for vehicle damage from estriped with bike lanes when repaved to help with traffic

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

calming.

This is a shared road with Georgetown Township providing collector access to Chicago Drive, including the Hudsonville Fairgrounds. It has a PASER rating of 2.

18 accidents and 2 injuries for this road section per MPO crash summary. None with bicycles but bike lanes will help with traffic calming.

Project Name: 40th Ave: Van Buren to N city limit Subr	mitte	d by (Name and Agency):
Project Description:		
2" mill and resurface for 1,600' of 40th Avenue from Van Bu	uren	Street to north city limits and restripe with bike lanes.
Total Project Cost: \$143,000		
Project contributes to improving the following Federal Per	form	ance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ☐ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	Sys	tem Performance Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads		Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads		Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	<u>Tra</u>	nsit Asset Management Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS		Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges		Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the applica	able _l	performance measures.
Road quality and traffic calming measure of bike lanes n of 34' wide 2-lane roadway with a 35 mph speed limit sa		
Project contributes to the implementation of the following	з МТГ	P Recommendations – check all that apply:
☐ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	7	Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	V	Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the applica	able I	MTP Recommendations.
Pood is gotting unsafe due to very poor condition. We h	2010	received multiple reports for vehicle damage from

Road is getting unsafe due to very poor condition. We have received multiple reports for vehicle damage from

road quality issues on 40th Avenue. The road will be restriped with bike lanes, extending existing bike lanes from the north when repaved to help with traffic calming.

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

This is a shared road with Georgetown Township providing collector access to Chicago Drive. It has a PASER rating of 3.

3 accidents and 1 injury for this road section per MPO crash summary. None with bicycles but bike lanes will help with traffic calming.

KCDC

Project Name: M-37: 92nd Street to N.O. 76th Street Subr	nitted by (Name and Agency): KCRC
Project Description:	
project costs is approximately \$2 Million. KCRC is suggesting that part of the estimated \$2 Mil well as other local and/or MPO sources TBD. Total construction costs for a 5-lane roadway w	and MPO staff, MDOT indicated additional capacity improvements would be considered with oppoximately \$32 Million for construction. KCRC estimates the local share of directly applicable lion local share could be funded with the COVID funds currently being discussed at TPSG, as
Total Project Cost: \$2 Million	
Project contributes to improving the following Federal Per	formance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ✓ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
✓ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
 Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads 	✓ Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management ☐ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS	☐ Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the applica	able performance measures.
the roadway and safety at the 84th street intersection. The additional thr	th of 84th St., to 35,000 south of M-6, which is high for a 2-lane roadway. is a high crash location. The MDOT project will address the condition of ough and turning lanes would improve safety, relieve congestion, improve sial and commuter traffic from Amazon and other developments in the area.
Project contributes to the implementation of the following	MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:
✓ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	✓ Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
☑ Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	☐ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the applica	able MTP Recommendations.

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

This request would help to leverage additional MDOT investment in the M-37 corridor and is a partnership opportunity between MDOT, local agencies and the MPO. It is not expected that all of the local share would come from the MPO funding being discussed at TPSG. Additional investment will ensure reasonable and reliable mobility, and a balanced regional investment level for growing areas of the MPO and Kent County.

Project Name: 68th Street s	ubmitted by (Name and Agency): Rick Sprague KCRC
Project Description:	
Reconstruct segment between Kraft Avenue ar Provide 8 foot shoulders (4 foot paved and 4 fo	
Total Project Cost: \$1,500,000	<u> </u>
Project contributes to improving the following Federal	Performance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ☐ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries of all public roads	n Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management☐ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS	Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the app	licable performance measures.
The project would include improvements to the inters and pavement condition improvement. This segment restrictions and would have an addition of 4 foot of pa	
Project contributes to the implementation of the follow	ving MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:
☑ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area	a. Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
✓ Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	☐ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the app	olicable MTP Recommendations.

The All-Season construction would allow no seasonal weight restrictions. The 8 foot wide shoulders and 4 foot paved portions would improve vehicle and pedestrian safety.

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

KCRC is planning to construct the portion of 68th Street from Cherry Valley Avenue east to Whitneyville Avenue during 2021-2022 with 100% KCRC funds. The segment requested for funding would complete the 68th Street All-Season corridor between US-131 and Whitneyville Avenue.

_{Project Name:} Leffingwell Avenue _{Subr}	mitted by (Name and Agency): Rick Sprague KCRC
Project Description:	
Between City Limits and Knapp Street. Remove e HMA pavement. Upgrade sidewalks and non-mot	existing HMA pavement and place 2 courses of new corized trail ramps where needed.
Total Project Cost: \$250,000	
Project contributes to improving the following Federal Per	formance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ☐ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
☐ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
☐ Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads	☐ Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	<u>Transit Asset Management</u> ☐ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS	☐ Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the application	able performance measures.
Improving a poor condition pavement and improvi	ing the number of reliable miles on NHS.
Project contributes to the implementation of the following	g MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:
☑ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	☐ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the application	able MTP Recommendations.
Project will provide new pavement in place of exis condition.	sting 20 year old asphalt pavement in poor

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

Project has no known environmental issues and qualifies for SHPO Waiver. No right-of-way issues.

_{Project Name:} Northland Drive	_ Submitted by (Name and Agency): Rick Sprague KCRC	
Project Description:		
Full Depth pavement replacement between	11 Mile Road and 12 Mile Road and upgrade guardrail.	
Total Project Cost: \$900,000		
Project contributes to improving the following Feder	ral Performance Measures – check all that apply:	
Safety ☐ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable	
☐ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable	
☐ Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuricall public roads	es on Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index	
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)	
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-InterNHS	state	
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale	
Please describe how this project would address the	applicable performance measures.	
Total pavement replacement will improve ex poor condition while improving percentage o	isting pavement condition which is currently in fair to f good pavement on non-NHS.	
Project contributes to the implementation of the fol	lowing MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:	
☑ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO	area.	
Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	☐ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.	
Please describe how this project would address the applicable MTP Recommendations.		

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

The project will provide new pavement in place of existing 24 year old pavement in fair to poor condition. The guardrail approach terminal endings will be upgraded to meet current crash standards and improve safety.

The project could be combined with 2022 TIP Project to cold mill and repave Wolverine Boulevard between 10 Mile Road and 11 Mile Road.

_{Project Name:} <u>Burton Street</u> _{Sub}	mitted by (Name and Agency): Brad Boomstra/City of Kentwood
Project Description:	
Mill and fill from East Paris to Forest Hill and mill existing 5 foot sidewalk with 10 foot non-motorize	
Total Project Cost: \$1,784,000	
Project contributes to improving the following Federal Pe	rformance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ☐ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
☐ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
□ Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads	☐ Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management☐ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS	☐ Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the application	cable performance measures.
The current Paser rating of this facility is a combi and a rating of 4 from Forest Hill to Patterson.	nation of 3 and 4 between East Paris and Forest Hill
Project contributes to the implementation of the followin	g MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:
☐ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	☐ Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	☑ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the applic	cable MTP Recommendations.

Burton Street is a major east-west arterial. The replacement of sidewalk with the 10 foot wide non-motorized trail separated from the roadway will allow for an interconnection between the existing 10 foot wide trail coming down from the north on Forest Hill and the non-motorized trail being constructed this year along Burton east of Patterson and over I-96 to the existing non-motorized trail system in Cascade township.

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

The existing Burton Street pavement is 45 feet wide. The proposed project will reduce the street pavement to 35 feet wide to allow for the new non-motorized connection within the existing right-of-way and also provide a 5-7 foot landscaped parkway separation along the street edge between the the existing sidewalk on one side of the street and the new non-motorized connector on the other.

Project Name: Burton	Submitted by (Name and Agency):Brad Boomstra/City of Kentwood
Project Description:	
Mill, fill and narrow the pavement as well as re non-motorized trail between Forest Hill and Pa	place the existing 5 foot sidewalk with a 10 foot wide atterson
Total Project Cost: \$1,027,400	<u> </u>
Project contributes to improving the following Federal	Performance Measures – check all that apply:
Safety ☐ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
☐ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries of all public roads	on Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
Infrastructure✓ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management ☐ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Intersta	te Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Please describe how this project would address the ap	plicable performance measures.
The current Paser rating of this facility is 4.	
Project contributes to the implementation of the follow	wing MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:
☐ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO are	ea. Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
☑ Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	✓ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Please describe how this project would address the an	nlicable MTP Recommendations

Burton Street is a major east-west arterial. The replacement of sidewalk with the 10 foot wide non-motorized trail separated from the roadway will allow for an interconnection between the existing 10 foot wide trail coming down from the north on Forest Hill and the non-motorized trail being constructed this year along Burton east of Patterson and over I-96 to the existing non-motorized trail system in Cascade township.

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

The existing Burton Street pavement is 45 feet wide. The proposed project will reduce the street pavement to 35 feet wide to allow for the new non-motorized connection within the existing right-of-way and also provide a 5-7 foot landscaped parkway separation along the street edge between the the existing sidewalk on one side of the street and the new non-motorized connector on the other.

Project Name: Fillmore Street/Cottonwood Drive Subn	nitted by (Name and Agency): Brett Laughlin - OCRC		
Project Description:			
Fillmore Street/Cottonwood Drive: 48th Avenue to Taylor Street, 4.4 miles of milling and resurfacing			
Total Project Cost: \$1,958,000			
Project contributes to improving the following Federal Per	formance Measures – check all that apply:		
Safety ✓ Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	System Performance ☐ Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable		
☑ Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable		
Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads	☐ Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index		
Infrastructure ☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	Transit Asset Management ☐ Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)		
☐ Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS	☐ Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB		
☐ Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges	☐ Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale		
Please describe how this project would address the applica	ble performance measures.		
The proposed project would preserve the paveme non-motorized users, and upgrade the road conditions to the conditions of the conditions of the proposed project would preserve the pavement of	nt of the corridor, improve safety for motorized and ion for trucking needs.		
Project contributes to the implementation of the following MTP Recommendations – check all that apply:			
☐ Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	✓ Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.		
✓ Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	☐ Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.		
Please describe how this project would address the applicable MTP Recommendations.			
The project will preserve corridor and provide a sa	fer environment for all roadway users.		

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

The corridor is a minor arterial with traffic volumes of 10,500 ADT.

THE PROJECT IS IN THE TIP FOR FY2022 - has \$1,300,000 STP

Proje	ect Name: Bristol RR Bridge Subm	itte	d by (Name and Agency): City of Walker
Proje	ect Description:		
Railr	nave a single lane bridge that was constructed in 1907 with a 10' road. The location is within 50' of Pannell Road and acts as a sir ease the vertical clearance. A pedestrian crossing will also be inc	ıgle i	ntersection. We propose to widen this bridge to 2 lanes and
Гota	I Project Cost: \$950,000		
Proje	ect contributes to improving the following Federal Perfo	orma	ance Measures – check all that apply:
<u>Safe</u> ✓	Number/rate of fatalities on all public roads	Sys	tem Performance Percentage of person-miles traveled on the interstate that are reliable
✓	Number/rate of serious injuries on all public roads	7	Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
V	Number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads		Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
	astructure Percentage of good/poor pavement on the Interstate	<u>Tra</u>	nsit Asset Management Percentage of revenue vehicles exceeding useful life benchmark (ULB)
	Percentage of good/poor pavement on the non-Interstate NHS		Percentage of non-revenue service vehicles exceeding ULB
7	Percentage of good/poor NHS Bridges		Percentage of facilities rated under 30 on the TERM scale
Pleas	se describe how this project would address the applica	ble p	performance measures.
moν	s improvement would dramatically decrease total vehing rements. It also mitigates a bridge liability for a function oves an impediment to pedestrian movement as well	onal	ly obsolete and geometrically inadequate crossing. It
Proje	ect contributes to the implementation of the following	MTF	Recommendations – check all that apply:
V	Work to increase transportation funding in the MPO area.	7	Work to improve the safety of all users of the transportation system.
	Work to improve the condition and operation of the existing transportation system.	7	Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles to more active forms of transportation.
Pleas	Please describe how this project would address the applicable MTP Recommendations.		

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

around this location that result in an additional 2-3 miles per trip on the adjacent road network in both Walker and Grand Rapids.

The 114 year old single lane bridge currently causes restrictions and confusion with drivers. The poor visibility due to the adjacent intersection causes many low speed "near miss" accidents in addition to a bevy of "bridge hits" by both cars and trucks. The lack of a pedestrian movement is also troublesome for adjacent neighborhoods and the West Catholic High School, immediately to the south. It will enhance walk-ability and reduce unnecessary vehicle trips

Similar to above, the single lane bridge currently causes restrictions and confusion with drivers. The poor visibility due to the adjacent intersection causes many low speed "near miss" accidents in addition to a bevy of "bridge hits" by both cars and trucks. The lack of a pedestrian movement is also troublesome for adjacent neighborhoods and the West Catholic High School, immediately to the south. It will enhance walk-ability and reduce unnecessary vehicle trips around this location that result in an additional 2-3 miles per trip on the adjacent road network in both Walker and Grand Rapids.

GVMC Planning Project Proposal Form

Project Name: Regional TDM Strategy			
Project Description (type, location, etc.):	Submittal Contact (Email): jnaramore@grcity.us		
Develop a coordinated regional transportation demand management strategy that includes recommend funding sources, lead agencies, realistic mode shift goals and outlines implementation strategies for cities and the Rapid to implement.			
Total Project Cost: ~\$250,000			
Federal Planning Factors – check all that apply to this	project:		
Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area especially by enabling global competitiveness, producti and efficiency	1 V 1		
Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users	Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users		
Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportat system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation	tion Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight		
Promote efficient system management and operation	▼ Enhance travel and tourism		
Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and fre	reight Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system		
Please describe how this project would address the a	applicable planning factors.		
A regional strategy for TDM would help work to lower transportation for employees and employers. It also helps to use the existing transportation system with carpooling, vanpooling, telecommuting and transit use. All of this is even more important in the post-COVID world.			
This project ties into federal performance-based planning and programming requirements.			
Please explain:			
This project relates to a recommendation from	m the MTP and/or other on-going MPO planning work.		
Please explain: Recommendation 4: Work to create a mode shift from single occupancy vehicles (SOVs) to more active forms of transportation			
Link to MTP (see Chapt. 11 for Recommendations) Link to Current UPWP			

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

GVMC Planning Project Proposal Form

Pro	_{ject Name:} <u>Transit Master Plan</u>	Submitte	ed by (Name and Agency): ITP
Pro	ject Description (type, location, etc.):	Submitta	I Contact (Email): nmonoyios@ridetherapid.org
publ exha	ate an updated Transit Master Plan (TMP). A new TMP will maximize the value ic transportation over the next 20 years. In addition to the existing TMP being o	e that public tr over ten (10) efficient regio	ansportation has as an MPO Planning priority and identify the strategic direction for years old, the emergence from the pandemic will require a comprehensive and and transit provisions. Combining this TMP with other updated regional smart growth
Tot	al Project Cost: \$600,000		
Fed	eral Planning Factors – check all that apply to this p	roject:	
√	Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productive and efficiency	rity,	Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns
√	Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users	\checkmark	Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users
√	Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation	on 🗸	Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight
√	Promote efficient system management and operation	\checkmark	Enhance travel and tourism
√	Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and frei	ight 🗸	Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system
Plea	ase describe how this project would address the ap	plicable	planning factors.
reg cor	updated TMP would optimize our regional economic, egional impacts of the pandemic. Introducing a master proprehensive (3C) regional framework will demonstrate cessible transit has for our MPO service area.	lanning p	rocess that invites a continuing, cooperating, and
√	This project ties into federal performance-base	ed planni	ing and programming requirements.
Plea	ase explain: An updated TMP would optimize many performance goals by reducing traffic cor	ngestion (and cont	tributing to safer streets), improve the efficiency of the existing transportation system, and improve the environment
$\overline{\checkmark}$	This project relates to a recommendation from	the MT	P and/or other on-going MPO planning work.

Link to MTP (see Chapt. 11 for Recommendations) | Link to Current UPWP

Please explain: A TMP will recommend increases to transportation funding (Rec. #1), improve the condition of the existing transportation system (Rec. #3) and encourage mode shift (Rec. #4)

Please provide additional comments about how this project will benefit the GVMC region and/or other information you'd like to include.

Ultimately, the initiation of an updated TMP addresses many of the goals and objectives identified by the MPO. Optimizing our public transportation infrastructure is essential for sustainable regional growth